Contact:
Penny Wiegert
Director of Communication
pwiegert@rockforddiocese.org
Phone: (815) 399-4300
Fax: (815)399-6225

555 Colman Center Drive
P.O. Box 7044
Rockford, IL 61125
www.rockforddiocese.org

DIOCESE OF ROCKFORD

FRIDAY, MARCH 13, 2025

PRESS RELEASE

Bishop Malloy Calls on Catholics to Oppose Assisted Suicide in Illinois

DIOCESE— Bishop David J. Malloy issued a letter to all parishes in the 11-county Diocese of Rockford asking Catholics to join together in opposing efforts to make assisted suicide legal in the state of Illinois. The letter is to be shared at Masses this weekend, March 15-16.

Bishop Malloy, in his letter this week, asked parish pastors to make their parishioners aware of the efforts contained in Senate Bill 9 (SB 9) and House Bill 1328 (HB 1328) to legalize assisted suicide. Bishop Malloy told pastors "Given the moral problems on many levels associated with assisted suicide, I would like to ask your help in putting this issue, along with Catholic teaching on the matter, before the faithful urging them to oppose these measures."

He further asked pastors to make information about the legislation and his letter available in their bulletins, on parish websites, and on parish social media platforms. Catholics are also being encouraged by the diocese and the Catholic Conference of Illinois to contact their legislators encouraging them to oppose assisted suicide.

According to the Catechism of the Catholic Church, "*everyone is responsible for his life before God who has given it to him. It is God who remains the sovereign Master of life. We are obliged to accept life gratefully and preserve it for his honor and the salvation of our souls. We are stewards, not owners, of the life God has entrusted to us. It is not ours to dispose of (2280).*" The Catechism goes on to teach that "*Suicide contradicts the natural inclination of the human being to preserve and perpetuate his life. It is gravely contrary to the just love of self. It likewise offends love of neighbor because it unjustly breaks the ties of solidarity with family, nation, and other human societies to which we continue to have obligations. Suicide is contrary to love for the living God (2281).*"

--END--

Established in 1908, the Diocese of Rockford is comprised of 105 parishes in Boone, Carroll, DeKalb, JoDaviess, Kane, Lee, McHenry, Ogle, Stephenson, Whiteside and Winnebago Counties in Northern Illinois serving a Catholic population of more than 400,000. For more about the Catholic Diocese of Rockford visit www.rockforddiocese.org.

Office of the Bishop

Diocese of Rockford

555 Colman Center Drive
P.O. Box 7044
Rockford, Illinois 61125

(815) 399-4300
Fax (815) 399-4769

March 12, 2025

Dear brothers and sisters in Christ,

I take this opportunity to write to inform you about two identical pieces of legislation that will legalize assisted suicide in Illinois, Senate Bill 9 (SB) and House Bill 1328 (HB 1328).

Assisted suicide makes it legal for a physician to prescribe an array of lethal drugs to a person diagnosed with a terminal disease who requests to end his or her life.

Proponents of this legislation argue that this legislation will end suffering at the end of life. Our Catholic faith strongly believes that no one should needlessly suffer or have to watch a loved one experience unnecessary pain and suffering. Our hospitals, and indeed our whole history of Catholic health care give witness to our compassion for those who are suffering, and for their loved ones. In this way we show our love and respect for the gift of human life and the dignity even of those who are ill or suffering.

Fortunately, as medical knowledge has advanced, there are now effective ways to make a person more comfortable at the end of life through palliative care. This relatively new specialty utilizes physician-led teams to care for the whole person – physically, emotionally, socially and spiritually – to relieve the symptoms and the stress that often accompany serious illness and side effects of treatment.

Even if it is well intended, assisted suicide is a false charity. It brings with it many alarming consequences that, as followers of Jesus Christ, we are called to reject. For example, in states with legalized suicide, there are documented cases of insurance companies refusing to pay for the necessary care of the terminally ill while at the same time they will cover the small cost of the drugs resulting in the end of life. Every major national organization that represents people with disabilities is opposed to assisted suicide. Experience shows that it is especially the poor and those with disabilities who are particularly in jeopardy as they are the most vulnerable to such abuses. There is no way to prevent the vulnerable from being coerced or intimidated to end their lives once this assisted suicide is legal.

The American Medical Association (AMA) has summed up the case against assisted suicide well: "Physician assisted suicide is fundamentally incompatible with the physician's role as healer, would be difficult or impossible to control, and would provide serious societal risks."

Assisted suicide is clearly not the compassionate solution for those who are suffering. Through palliative care, expanded access to mental health care, and stronger family and community support, providers and families are finding better ways to accompany these people compassionately that truly confers the love for, and dignity of, each human life.

Please consider offering your prayers and fasting to help stop this. In addition, take action by writing, calling or e-mailing your state elected officials to vote "NO" on this legislation. For further information go to www.ilcatholic.org or call 217-528-9200. to find out how to contact your local elected official to tell them to vote NO on SB 9 and HB 1328.

Sincerely yours in Christ,

+David J. Malloy

Most Reverend David J. Malloy
Bishop of Rockford

Diocese of Rockford
555 Colman Center Drive
P.O. Box 7044
Rockford, Illinois 61125

(815) 399-4300
Fax (815) 399-4769

Office of the Bishop

12 de marzo de 2025

Queridos Hermanos y Hermanas en Cristo:

Aprovecho esta oportunidad para informarles acerca de dos proyectos de ley idénticos que legalizarán el suicidio asistido en Illinois: el Proyecto de Ley del Senado 9 (SB) y el Proyecto de Ley de la Cámara de Representantes 1328 (HB 1328).

El suicidio asistido legaliza la prescripción médica de diversas drogas letales a personas con diagnóstico de enfermedad terminal que soliciten terminar con su vida.

Los ponentes de esta legislación argumentan que terminará con el sufrimiento al final de la vida. Nuestra fe católica firmemente cree que nadie debería sufrir innecesariamente ni tener que presenciar el sufrimiento de un ser querido. Nuestros hospitales, y de hecho toda nuestra historia de la atención médica católica, dan testimonio de nuestra compasión por quienes sufren y por sus seres queridos. De esta manera, demostramos nuestro amor y respeto por el don de la vida humana y la dignidad, incluso de quienes están enfermos o sufriendo.

Por fortuna, como el conocimiento médico ha avanzado, ahora hay maneras efectivas para que una persona se sienta más cómoda al final de la vida mediante los cuidados paliativos. Esta especialidad relativamente nueva utiliza equipos dirigidos por médicos para atender a la persona en su totalidad —física, emocional, social y espiritualmente— y aliviar los síntomas y el estrés que suelen acompañar a las enfermedades graves y los efectos secundarios del tratamiento.

Aunque tenga buenas intenciones, el suicidio asistido es una falsa caridad. Trae consigo muchas consecuencias alarmantes que, como seguidores de Jesucristo, estamos llamados a rechazar. Por ejemplo, en estados donde el suicidio está legalizado, existen casos documentados de compañías de seguros que se niegan a cubrir la atención necesaria de enfermos terminales, mientras que sí cubren el bajo costo de los medicamentos que conllevan el fin de la vida. Todas las principales organizaciones nacionales que representan a personas con discapacidad se oponen al suicidio asistido. La experiencia demuestra que son especialmente las personas con bajos recursos y discapacidades quienes corren un mayor riesgo, ya que son las más vulnerables a estos abusos. No hay forma de evitar que las personas vulnerables sean coaccionadas o intimidadas para que se quiten la vida una vez que este suicidio asistido sea legal.

La Asociación Médica Americana (AMA, por sus siglas en inglés) ha resumido bien los argumentos contra el suicidio asistido: «El suicidio asistido por un médico es fundamentalmente incompatible con su función de sanador, sería difícil o imposible de controlar y conllevaría graves riesgos sociales».

El suicidio asistido claramente no es una solución compasiva para quienes están sufriendo. Con los cuidados paliativos, un mayor acceso a la atención de salud mental y un mayor apoyo familiar y comunitario, los profesionales de la salud y las familias están encontrando mejores maneras de acompañar a estas personas de con compasión, para que realmente se les confiera el amor y la dignidad de cada vida humana.

Por favor, considere ofrecer sus oraciones y ayuno para ayudar a detener esto. Además, actúe escribiendo, llamando o enviando un correo electrónico a los funcionarios electos de su estado para votar "NO" a esta legislación. Para más información, visite www.ilcatholic.org o llame al (217) 528-9200 para saber cómo contactar a su funcionario electo local y decirle que vote "NO" a la SB 9 y la HB 1328.

Sinceramente en Cristo,

+ David J. Malloy

Reverendísimo David J. Malloy
Obispo de Rockford

Secretariat of Pro-Life Activities
3211 Fourth Street NE • Washington DC 20017-1194
202-541-3070 • FAX 202-541-3054 • EMAIL PROLIFE@USCCB.ORG • WEB WWW.USCCB.ORG/PROLIFE

Top Reasons to Oppose Assisted Suicide

Assisted suicide is a **deadly mix** with our profit-driven health care system

- Some patients in Oregon have received word from the Oregon Health Plan that it will pay for assisted suicide but will not pay for treatment that may sustain their lives.[1]

- Patients enrolled in private health plans are meeting with similar discrimination and pressure to commit suicide. One patient in California was told by her insurance company that it would not pay for her life-extending treatment but that she "would only have to pay $1.20" for drugs to commit suicide.[2]

- Nevada physician Dr. Brian Callister testifies that when he tried to transfer patients to their home states of Oregon and California for treatments not available in his state, insurers in both states rejected his effort and instead volunteered, "would you consider assisted suicide?" Dr. Callister says both patients had good chances for a cure with treatment but will be terminal without it.[3]

- One well-known advocate of assisted suicide has written openly of the unacceptable "burden" of caring for elderly Americans, declaring that "in the final analysis, economics, not the quest for broadened individual liberties or increased autonomy, will drive assisted suicide to the plateau of acceptable practice."[4]

Assisted suicide invites **coercion** putting vulnerable persons at risk of abuse

- Once lethal drugs have been prescribed, assisted suicide laws have *no* requirements for assessing the patient's consent, competency, or voluntariness. Who would know if the drugs are freely taken since there is no supervision or tracking of the drugs once they leave the pharmacy and since no witnesses are required at the time of death?

- Elder abuse is considered a major health problem in the United States with federal estimates that one in ten elder persons are abused.[5] Placing lethal drugs into the hands of abusers generates an additional major risk to elderly persons.

- Despite a reporting system designed to conceal rather than detect abuses, reports of undue influence have nonetheless surfaced in Oregon. In one case, a woman with cancer committed suicide with a doctor's assistance though she had dementia, was found mentally incompetent by doctors, and had a grown daughter described as "somewhat coercive" in pushing her toward suicide.[6]

- The U. S. Supreme Court has also recognized "the real risk of subtle coercion and undue influence" that assisted suicide poses.[7] The justices heeded the warning of the New York Task Force that "[l]egalizing physician-assisted suicide would pose profound risks to many individuals who are ill and vulnerable.... The risk of harm is greatest for the many individuals in our society whose autonomy and well-being are already compromised by poverty, lack of access to good medical care, advanced age, or membership in a stigmatized social group."[8]

- An heir to the patient's estate or friends of the heir can encourage or pressure the patient to request lethal drugs and then be a witness to the request. Generally, assisted suicide laws allow one of the two witnesses to be an heir.

Terminal illness defined dangerously broad; predictions of life expectancy are notoriously inaccurate

- Assisted suicide laws typically appear to limit eligibility to terminally ill patients who are expected to die within six months but don't distinguish between persons who will die within six months *with treatment* and those who will die within six months *without treatment*. This means patients with treatable diseases like diabetes and disabilities requiring ventilator support are eligible for lethal drugs since they would die within six months without treatment. Furthermore, diagnoses of terminal illness and predictions of life expectancy are notoriously inaccurate.[9]

- According to official data collected by Oregon's health department, lethal drugs have already been given to Oregon patients with less predictable conditions like chronic respiratory or cardiac disease and even "benign and uncertain" tumors.[10]

Untreated pain is not among the top reasons cited for taking lethal drugs

- According to official annual reports, in 2016, 90% of Oregon patients seeking lethal drugs said they were "less able to engage in activities making life enjoyable" and were "losing autonomy," and 49% cited being a "burden" on family, friends or caregivers. In Washington, 52% cited being a "burden". In both Washington and Oregon concern about pain was cited as the second to last reason for seeking lethal drugs (35%).

Definition of self-administration opens door to euthanasia

- Can others take an active role in ending the patient's life? Oregon law speaks of the patient as "ingesting" medication to end his or her life.[11] Washington law says patients will "self-administer" the drugs, but defines "self-administer" to mean "ingesting."[12] But "ingesting" ordinarily means absorbing or swallowing; so this does not seem to bar others from administering the drugs. If such action is in accord with the Act, it may *not* be treated as a homicide.[13]

No psychiatric evaluation or treatment is required; patients with depression qualify for assisted suicide

- Despite medical literature showing that nearly 95 percent of those who commit suicide had a diagnosable psychiatric illness (usually treatable depression) in the months preceding suicide,[14] the prescribing doctor and the doctor he or she selects to give a second opinion are both free to decide whether to refer suicidal patients for any psychological evaluation. Per Oregon's official annual report, from 2013-2016 less than 4% of patients who died under its assisted suicide law had been referred for evaluations to check for "impaired judgment."

- If an evaluation is provided to suicidal patients, its goal is not to treat the underlying psychopathology, but to determine that the patient is not suffering from "a psychiatric or psychological disorder or depression *causing impaired judgment*."[15] The doctors or counselor can decide that, since depression is "a completely normal response" to terminal illness, the depressed patient's judgment is not impaired.[16]

- Assisted suicide laws place lethal drugs in patients' hands to be administered at a time of their choosing, making it impossible to determine whether their judgment is impaired when the actual decision for suicide is made and the drugs are taken.

Assisted suicide threatens improved palliative care

- There is compelling evidence that legalizing assisted suicide undermines efforts to maintain and improve good care for patients nearing the end of life, including patients who never wanted assisted suicide.

- Vermont legalized physician-assisted suicide in 2013. In 2015, the state's Visiting Nurse Association announced it is conducting a study to discover why the state has "the third lowest hospice utilization rate in the nation."[17]

- Oregon was a leader in promoting hospice care before it legalized assisted suicide. After legalization its percentage improvement in utilization of hospice fell below the national average. The state opened only five new hospices from 2000 to 2014, at a time when 1,832 opened in other states. Washington, which legalized assisted suicide in 2008, also has a hospice utilization rate below the national average.[18]

Publicity about suicide and assisted suicide, especially when presented favorably, leads to more suicides.

- In 2015, Oregon's health department said "The rate of suicide among Oregonians has been increasing since 2000" (3 years after it legalized assisted suicide) and as of 2012 was "42% higher than the national average"; suicide had become "the second leading cause of death among Oregonians aged 15 to 34 years." These figures are in addition to deaths under the Oregon assisted suicide law, which legally are not counted as suicides.[19]

- Proponents claim assisted suicide is a "peaceful" alternative that replaces "violent" suicides. A recent study has found that legalizing assisted suicide does not reduce or substitute for other suicides, but increases total suicides.[20]

- The World Health Organization warns that certain kinds of media coverage of suicide "which sensationalizes or normalizes suicide, or presents it as a solution to problems" can lead to "imitative suicidal behaviours," especially among young or depressed people.[21]

Assisted suicide operates through **deception** and **secrecy**

- In Oregon, doctors list patients' underlying illness as the cause of death on death certificates; in Washington, this falsified report is explicitly *required* by law.[22] The death certificate may be signed by the doctor who prescribed the lethal drugs, completing this closed system for controlling and hiding information.[23]

- In Oregon and Washington, all reporting about doctor-assisted deaths is self-reporting by the doctors prescribing lethal drugs.[24] By its own figures, "Compassion and Choices" (formerly The Hemlock Society), which adamantly supports assisted suicide, played an active role in 97% of Oregon's assisted deaths in 2009.[25]

- Doctors cannot report reliably on the situation when patients ingest the lethal overdose and die, as nothing in the law requires them to be present – and no one else who may be present is required to report. According to official annual reports, in Oregon, the prescribing physician was present at the time of death in only 10% of known cases in 2016. In Washington in 2015, the prescribing physician was present in only 5% of cases (9 out of 166). Who else may have been present, what role they played in causing the patient's death, and what motives they were acting on, are not known and never reported.

Assisted suicide fosters **discrimination**

- Assisted suicide fosters discrimination by creating two classes of people: those whose suicides our country spends hundreds of millions of dollars each year to prevent and those whose suicides we assist and treat as a positive good. We remove weapons and drugs that can cause harm to one group, while handing deadly drugs to the other, setting up yet another kind of life-threatening discrimination.

- Removing an entire class of citizens from the protection of laws against deadly harm based on the condition of their health, as assisted suicide laws do, violates the basic notion of equal justice for all.

The only court in the nation to address the question has concluded that withholding from terminally ill patients "the same protections from suicide the majority enjoys" violates equal protection.[26]

For additional information on why legalizing assisted suicide is a bad and dangerous idea see:
www.usccb.org/toliveeachday and www.patientsrightsaction.org

July 12, 2017

---

[1] Susan Harding, *Health Plan Covers Assisted Suicide But Not New Cancer Treatment*, KVAL News (published July 31, 2008, updated Oct. 30, 2013) (noting that the Oregon Health Plan will pay for coverage for chemotherapy that cures cancer, but not for chemotherapy drugs that can extend life); Jennifer Popik, *Terminally Ill Oregon Patients Denied Treatment but Reminded They Can Choose Physician-Assisted Suicide* (July 2008), available at http://www.nrlc.org/archive/news/2008/NRL08/Oregon.html.

[2] Bradford Richardson, *Assisted-Suicide Law Prompts Insurance Company to Deny Coverage to Terminally Ill California Woman*, Wash. Times (Oct. 20, 2016), http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2016/oct/20/assisted-suicide-law-prompts-insurance-company-den/.

[3] "Insurance companies denied treatment to patients, offered to pay for assisted suicide, doctor claims," *The Washington Times*, May 31, 2017, at http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2017/may/31/insurance-companies-denied-treatment-to-patients-o/.

[4] Derek Humphry and Mary Clement, "The Unspoken Argument," in FREEDOM TO DIE, 313 (1998).

[5] http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMra1404688

[6] See H. Hendin and K. Foley, "Physician-Assisted Suicide in Oregon: A Medical Perspective," 106 *Michigan Law Review* 1613-45 (2008) at 1624-5; available at https://docs.google.com/file/d/0BwDPETL1NPnAMmFjZTNjNzctOGU4NS00MTUwLTgxZjAtM2I4NDhlMjA2OTFj/edit?hl=en&pli=1.

[7] *Washington v.Glucksberg*, 521 U.S. 702, 732 (1997).

[8] *Id.* at 732.

[9] Joanne Lynn, *et.al., Defining the "Terminally Ill": Insights from SUPPORT,* 35 Duq. L. Rev. 311 (Fall 1996); Eric Chevlen, *The Limits of Prognostication*, 35 Duq. L. Rev. 337 (Fall 1996)

[10] Oregon Public Health Division, "Oregon Death with Dignity Act: Data Summary 2016" at 9 and 11 n. 2.; available at http://public.health.oregon.gov/ProviderPartnerResources/EvaluationResearch/DeathwithDignityAct/Documents/year19.pdf.

[11] Or. Rev. Stat. § 127.875

[12] Wash. Rev. Code §§ 70.245.020 and 70.245.010(12)

[13] Or. Rev. Stat. § 127.880; Wash. Rev. Code § 70.245.180(1). See M. Dore, "'Death with Dignity': A Recipe for Elder Abuse and Homicide (Albeit Not By Name)," 11.2 *Marquette Elder's Advisor* 387-401 (Spring 2010) at 391-3; http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=elders

[14] H. Hendin, M.D., *Seduced by Death: Doctors, Patients, and Assisted Suicide (New York: W.W. Norton, 1998):34-35.*

[15] Id

[16] Hendin & Foley, *Physician-Assisted Suicide in Oregon*, *supra* at 1623-4.

[17] "Vermont VNA Seeking to Identify Causes of State's Low Hospice Utilization Rates," *Hospice and Palliative Care News*, April 29, 2015, at http://healthrespubs.com/hospice-and-palliative-care-news/2015/04/29/vermont-vna-seeking-to-identify-low-hospice-utilization-rates/.

[18] J. Ballentine et al., "Physician-Assisted Death Does Not Improve End-of-Life Care," *Journal of Palliative Medicine* 19 (2016): 1-2.

[19] X. Shen and L. Millet, *Suicides in Oregon: Trends and Associated Factors. 2003-2012* (Oregon Health Authority 2015) at 3, http://public.health.oregon.gov/DiseasesConditions/InjuryFatalityData/Documents/NVDRS/Suicide%20in%20Oregon%202015%20report.pdf.

[20] D. Jones and D. Paton, "How Does Legalization of Physician-Assisted Suicide Affect Rates of Suicide?", 108 *Southern Medical Journal* (2015): 599-604.

[21] World Health Organization, *Preventing Suicide: A Resource for Media Professionals* (WHO: Geneva 2008) at 6, 7, 8; www.who.int/mental_health/prevention/suicide/resource_media.pdf

[22] M. Dore, "'Death with Dignity': A Recipe for Elder Abuse and Homicide (Albeit Not By Name)," 11.2 *Marquette Elder's Advisor* 387-401 (Spring 2010) at 395; http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1027&context=elders.

[23] Or. Rev. Stat. § 127.815(2); Wash. Rev. Code § 70.245.040(2).

[24] Or. Rev. Stat. §§ 127.855(7) and 127.865; Wash. Rev. Code §§ 70.245.120 and 70.245.150.

[25] K. Stevens, "The Proportion of Oregon Assisted Suicides by Compassion & Choices Organization," March 4, 2010, at www.patientsrightscouncil.org/site/oregon-assisted-suicide-deaths/.

[26] *Lee v. Oregon,* 891 F. Supp. 1429 (D. Or. 1995), *vacated on other grounds,* 107 F.3d 1382 (9th Cir. 1997), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 927 (1997).